

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/900,533 | 07/06/2001 | William J. Benton | 00087C1P | 3941 | |
| 7590 01/08/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq. | | | TUCKER, | TUCKER, PHILIP C | |
| Cabot Corporation Billerica Technical Center | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 157 Concord Road | | | 1712 | | |
| Billerica, MA 01821-7001 | | | DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/900,533 | BENTON ET AL. |
| Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Philip C Tucker | 1712 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 12/9/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date | • | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF). | Brief must be filed within the pe | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | • • • | - () |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below): |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | · | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | , | rially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consideration | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered and an wor appended. |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | · | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | , r = (3). <u>_</u> | |
| | | Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712 |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants amendment to claim 18 raises issues under 35 USC 112 for claims 19, 20 and those dependent therefrom, since they fail to further limit the scope of claim18. The addition of a pH range to claims 25 and 34 would require futher consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: Although applicants specification did not specifically state units for the density taught at the 2.4 level, the leve as high as 95% cesium formate would provide a specific gravity of 2.4..